arXiv:1509.06112v4 [math.PR] 13 Oct 2015

A smooth component of the fractional Brownian motion and optimal portfolio selection

Nikolai Dokuchaev
Department of Mathematics & Statistics, Curtin University
email: N.Dokuchaev@curtin.edu.au

October 14, 2015

Abstract

We consider fractional Brownian motion with the Hurst parameters from $(1/2, 1)$. We found that the increment of a fractional Brownian motion can be represented as the sum of a two independent Gaussian processes one of which is smooth in the sense that it is differentiable in mean square. We consider fractional Brownian motion and stochastic integrals generated by the Riemann sums. As an example of applications, this results is used to find an optimal pre-programmed strategy in the mean-variance setting for a Bachelier type market model driven by a fractional Brownian motion.

Key words: fractional Brownian motion, drift, optimal portfolio, mean-variance portfolio, programmed control.

JEL classification: C52, G11

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 60G22, 91G10

1 Introduction

In this short note, we consider fractional Brownian motion and stochastic integrals generated by the Riemann sums. We found that the increment of a fractional Brownian motion $B_H(t)$ with the zero mean can be represented as the sum of a two independent Gaussian processes one of which is smooth in the sense that it is differentiable in mean square sense, with the derivative that is square integrable on the finite time intervals. Similarly to the drift part of the diffusion processes, expectations of the integrals by this process are non-zero for the processes adapted to it. This process can be considered as an analog of the drift. It has to be noted that the term "drift" is usually applied to μ presented for the process $\mu t + B_H(t)$; see [3],[18],[7], where and estimation of μ was studied. In [18], the term "drift" was also used for a representation for B_H after linear integral transformation and random time change via a standard Brownian motion process with constant in time drift. Our representation is for B_H itself, i.e, without an integral transformation.

We also present an example of applications for portfolio selection. Statistical properties of fractional Brownian motion are widely used for financial modelling; see, e.g., the review in [1] and [10]. Optimal portfolio selection also have been studied; see, e.g., [2], [13],[10],[11], [5], as well as more general optimal stochastic control problems [12]. It is known that the fractional market with the Hurst parameter $H > 1/2$ allows arbitrage (see, e.g., [1]). The arbitrage opportunities can be eliminated by inclusion of transaction costs in the model [9]. or by additional restrictions on the strategies such as in [4]. We consider pre-programmed strategies; this also helps to exclude arbitrage. We study the mean-variance setting for a Bachelier type market model driven by a fractional Brownian motion. This linear quadratic optimization setting, or so-called mean-variance portfolio, was first introduced for single period models by Markowitz (see [17]) and extended later on multi-period and continuous time problems (see, e.g., [14] and [6] for multi-period problems and [15] for continuous time).

2 The main result

We are given a standard probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$, where Ω is a set of elementary events, $\mathcal{F}$ is a complete σ-algebra of events, and $\mathbf{P}$ is a probability measure.

We assume that $\{B_H(t)\}_{t\in\mathbf{R}}$ is a fractional Brownian motion such that $B_H(0) = 0$ with the Hurst parameter $H \in (1/2, 1)$ defined as described in [16, 8] such that

$$\begin{aligned} &B_H(t) - B_H(s) \\ &= c_H \int_s^t (t-q)^{H-1/2} dB(q) + c_H \int_{-\infty}^s \left[(t-q)^{H-1/2} - (s-q)^{H-1/2} \right] dB(q), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $t > s$, $c_H = \sqrt{2H\Gamma(3/2 - H)/[\Gamma(1/2 + H)\Gamma(2 - 2H)]}$ and Γ is the gamma function. Here $\{B(t)\}_{t\in\mathbf{R}}$ is standard Brownian motion such that $B(0) = 0$. Let $\{\mathcal{G}_t\}$ be the filtration generated by the process $B(t)$.

By (1),

$$B_H(t) - B_H(s) = W_H(t) + R_H(t),$$

where

$$W_H(t) = c_H \int_s^t (t-q)^{H-1/2} dB(q), \qquad R_H(t) = c_H \int_{-\infty}^s f(t,q) dB(q),$$

and where $f(t,q) = (t-q)^{H-1/2} - (s-q)^{H-1/2}$.

Let $s \geq 0$ and $T > s$ be fixed. For $\tau \in [s, T]$ and $g \in L_2(s, T)$, set

$$G_H(\tau, s, T, g) = c_H(H - 1/2) \int_\tau^T (t-\tau)^{H-3/2} g(t) dt.$$

Theorem 1. *The processes $W_H(t)$ and $R_H(t)$, where $t > s$, are independent Gaussian $\{\mathcal{G}_t\}$-adapted processes with zero mean and such that the following holds.*

(i) $W_H(t)$ is independent on $\mathcal{G}_s$ for all $t > s$ and has an Itô's differential in t in the following sense: for any $T > s$, there exists a function $h(\cdot, s, T) \in L_2(s, T)$ such that

$$\int_s^T \gamma(t) dW_H(t) = \int_s^T G_H(\tau, s, T, \gamma) dB(\tau)$$

for any $\gamma \in L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{G}_s, \mathbf{P}, L_2(s, T))$.

(ii) $R_H(t)$ is $\mathcal{G}_s$-measurable for all $t > s$ and differentiable in $t > s$ in mean square sense. More precisely, there exits a process $\mathcal{D}R_H$ such that

(a) $\mathcal{D}R_H(t)$ is $\mathcal{G}_s$-measurable for all $t > s$;

(b) for any $t > s$,

$$\mathbf{E}\mathcal{D}R_H(t)^2 = c_H^2 \frac{H - 1/2}{2}(t-s)^{2H-2}, \qquad \mathbf{E}\int_s^t \mathcal{D}R_H(q)^2 dq < +\infty;$$

(c) for any $t > s$,

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \mathbf{E}\left| \frac{R_H(t+\delta) - R_H(t)}{\delta} - \mathcal{D}R_H(t) \right| = 0. \tag{2}$$

Corollary 1. *Let $\gamma(t)$ be a process such that $\gamma(t)$ is $\mathcal{G}_s$-measurable for all t, and that $\mathbf{E}\int_s^T \gamma(t)^2 dt < +\infty$ for any $T > s$. Then the following holds.*

(i)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_s^T \gamma(t) dB_H(t) &= \int_s^T \gamma(t) dW_H(t) + \int_s^T \gamma(t)\mathcal{D}R_H(t) dt \\ &= \int_s^T G_H(\tau, s, T, \gamma) dB(\tau) + \int_s^T \gamma(t)\mathcal{D}R_H(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

and all integrals here converge converges in $L_1(\Omega, \mathcal{G}_T, \mathbf{P})$.

(ii) $\mathbf{E}\int_s^T \gamma(t) dW_H(t) = 0$ but it may happen that $\mathbf{E}\int_s^T \gamma(t)\mathcal{D}R_H(t) dt \neq 0$.

Remark 1. *For a small $\Delta s > 0$ and $T = s + \Delta s$, the term $W_H(t)_{[s, s+\Delta s]}$ represents a diffusion "noise" component that is independent on the past, and the term $R_H(t)_{[s, s+\Delta s]}$ represents a a smooth and predictable component that is completely defined by the past. This and Corollary 19ii) show that the smooth process $R_H(t)$ has some similarity with the drift term of a semimartingale diffusion process.*

In [3],[18],[7], the term "drift" was applied for the parameter μ in the presentation $\mu t+B_H(t)$.

In [18], Theorem 1, a representation of B_H after linear integral transformation and a time change was obtained via a standard Brownian motion process plus a linear in time process. Our representation is in a different setting, for the process B_H itself, i.e, without an integral transformation or time change.

Proof of Theorem 1(i). Clearly, the processes $W_H(t)$ and $R_H(t)$ are Gaussian with zero mean and satisfy the required measurability properties.

By the property of the Riemann–Liouville integral, there exists $c>0$ such that

$$\|G_H(\cdot,s,T,\gamma)\|_{L_2(s,T)} \le c\|\gamma\|_{L_2(s,T)}$$

a.s.. In addition, this value is $\mathcal{G}_s$-measurable for any τ. We have that the integral $\int_s^T \gamma(t)dW_H(\tau)$ is defined as the Itô's integral

$$\begin{aligned} &c_H(H-1/2)\int_s^T \gamma(t)dt\int_s^t (t-\tau)^{H-3/2}dB(\tau)\\ &= c_H(H-1/2)\int_s^T dB(\tau)\int_\tau^T (t-\tau)^{H-3/2}\gamma(t)dt = \int_s^T dB(\tau)G_H(\tau,s,T,\gamma) \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

To prove Theorem 1(ii), we need to verify the properties related to the differentiability of $R_H(t)$. It suffices to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *The mean square derivative described in Theorem 1 exists and can be represented as*

$$\mathcal{D}R_H(t) = c_H\int_{-\infty}^s f_t'(t,q)dB(q).$$

For this process,

$$\mathbf{E}\int_s^T \mathcal{D}R_H(t)^2dt = \frac{c_H^2}{4}(T-s)^{2H-1}.$$

Proof of Lemma 1. Let $t>s$ and $q<s$. Consider the derivative

$$f_t'(t,q) = (H-1/2)(t-q)^{H-3/2}.$$

Since $H-3/2\in(-1,-1/2)$, it follows that $2(H-3/2)\in(-2,-1)$ and $\|f_t'(t,\cdot)\|_{L_2(-\infty,s)} < +\infty$.

Let $f^{(1)}(t,q,\delta) = (f(t+\delta,q)-f(t,q))/\delta$, where $\delta\in(-(t-s)/2,(t-s)/2)$.

Clearly, $f'(t,q)-f^{(1)}(t,q,\delta)\to 0$ as $\delta\to 0$ for all $t>T_1$ and all q. Let us show that $\|f'(t,\cdot)-f^{(1)}(t,\cdot,\delta)\|_{L_2(-\infty,s)}\to 0$ as $\delta\to 0$. We have that

$$f^{(1)}(t,q,\delta) = \delta^{-1}\int_t^{t+\delta} f_t'(s,q)ds = f'(\theta(q,\delta),q)$$

for some $\theta(q,\delta) \in (t, t+\delta)$. Hence

$$|f_t'(t,q) - f^{(1)}(t,q,\delta)| \le \sup_{h \in (t,t+\delta)} |f_t'(t,q) - f_t'(h,q)| \le h \sup_{h \in (t,t+\delta)} |f_{tt}''(h,q)|, \tag{4}$$

where

$$f_{tt}''(h,q) = (H-1/2)(H-3/2)(h-q)^{H-5/2}.$$

For $\delta > 0$, we have that

$$\sup_{h \in (t,t+\delta)} |f_{tt}''(h,q)| \le |(H-1/2)(H-3/2)|(t-q)^{H-5/2}.$$

For $\delta \in (-(t-s)/2, 0]$, we have that $t+\delta-q > t+\delta-s > (t-s)/2$, and

$$\sup_{h \in (t,t+\delta)} |f_{tt}''(h,q)| \le |(H-1/2)(H-3/2)|(t+\delta-q)^{H-5/2}.$$

Since $H - 5/2 \in (-2, -3/2)$, it follows that $\|f_{tt}''(t,\cdot)\|_{L_2(-\infty,s)} < +\infty$.

By (4), it follows for all $t > s$ that $c_H \int_{-\infty}^s f_t'(t,q)dB(q)$ is mean square limit

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0+} \frac{R_H(t+\delta) - R_H(t)}{\delta} = c_H \int_{-\infty}^s f_t'(t,q)dB(q).$$

We denote this limit as $\mathcal{D}R_H(t)$, i.e., $\mathcal{D}R_H(t) = c_H \int_{-\infty}^s f_t'(t,q)dB(q)$.

Further, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}\mathcal{D}R_H(t)^2 &= c_H^2 \int_{-\infty}^s |f_t'(t,q)|^2 dq = c_H^2 (H-1/2)^2 \int_{-\infty}^s (t-q)^{2H-3} dq \\ &= c_H^2 \frac{(H-1/2)^2}{2H-2} (t-q)^{2H-2} \Big|_{-\infty}^s = c_H^2 \frac{H-1/2}{2} (t-s)^{2H-2}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Hence, for $T > s$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} \int_s^T \mathcal{D}R_H(t)^2 dt = c_H^2 \frac{H-1/2}{2} \int_s^T (t-s)^{2H-2} dt &= c_H^2 \frac{H-1/2}{2(2H-1)} (T-s)^{2H-1} \\ &= \frac{c_H^2}{4} (T-s)^{2H-1}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that (4) holds. This completes the proof of Lemma 1 and Theorem 1. □

Proof of Corollary 1. The integral $\int_s^T \gamma(t) dW_H(\tau)$ is defined as the Itô's integral (3) that converges in $L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{G}_T, \mathbf{P})$. Further,

$$\mathbf{E} \left| \int_s^T \gamma(t) \mathcal{D}R_H(t) dt \right| \le \left(\mathbf{E} \int_s^T \gamma(t)^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\mathbf{E} \int_s^T \mathcal{D}R_H(t)^2 dt \right)^{1/2},$$

and the integral $\int_s^T \gamma(t)\mathcal{D}R_H(t)dt$ converges in $L_1(\Omega,\mathcal{G}_s,\mathbf{P})$. Then statement (i) follows. Statement (ii) follows from a straightforward example where $\gamma(t)=\mathcal{D}R_H(t)$. $\square$

3 Applications to financial models

Consider the market model consisting of a risk free bond or bank account with the price $b(t)$, $t\ge 0$, and a risky stock with the price $S(t)$, $t\ge 0$. The prices of the stocks evolve as

$$S(t)=S(0)+\mu t+\sigma B_H(t), \tag{6}$$

where $B_H(t)$ is a fractional Brownian motion such as described above with the Hurst exponent $H\in(1/2,1)$. The initial price $S(0)\in\mathbf{R}$ is given; the parameters and $\mu,\sigma\in\mathbf{R}$, $\sigma\neq 0$ are also given.

The price of the bond evolves as

$$db(t)=rb(t)dt,$$

where $B(0)$ is a given constant, $r\ge 0$ is a short rate. For simplicity, we assume that $r=0$.

We assume that the wealth $X(t)$ at time $t\in[0,T]$ is

$$X(t)=\beta(t)b(t)+\gamma(t)S(t). \tag{7}$$

Here $\beta(t)$ is the quantity of the bond portfolio, $\gamma(t)$ is the quantity of the stock portfolio, $t\ge 0$. The pair $(\beta(\cdot),\gamma(\cdot))$ describes the state of the bond-stocks securities portfolio at time t. Each of these pairs is called a strategy.

We consider pairs $(\beta(\cdot),\gamma(\cdot))$ such that $\beta(t)$ and $\gamma(t)$ are progressively measurable with respect to $\{\mathcal{G}_t\}$. In addition, we require that

$$\mathbf{E}\int_0^T \left[\beta(t)^2+\gamma(t)^2\right]dt<+\infty.$$

This restriction bounds the risk to be accepted and pays the same role as exclusion of doubling strategies; see examples and discussion on doubling strategies in [1].

Furthermore, we restrict consideration by the set $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ consisting of γ such that $\gamma(t)$ is $\mathcal{G}_0$-measurable for all $t\ge 0$. In other words, we consider preselected strategies, where decisions are planned ahead at the initial time based on the available historical data. This is the setting portfolio selected according to a predetermined program that cannot be to be adjusted according to the flow of newly available market information; this helps to exclude arbitrage presented for currently adjustable strategies for market with $H\in(1/2,1)$ (see, e.g., [1]). In the stochastic control theory, similar strategies are called programmed controls.

An admissible strategy $(\beta(\cdot), \gamma(\cdot))$ is said to be an admissible self-financing strategy if

$$dX(t) = \beta(t)db(t) + \gamma(t)dS(t) = \gamma(t)dS(t),$$

meaning that

$$X(t) = X(0) + \int_0^t \beta(s)db(s) + \int_0^t \gamma(s)dS(s),$$

Since we assumed that $r = 0$, it gives simply that

$$X(t) = X(0) + \int_0^t \gamma(s)dS(s) = X(0) + \mu \int_0^t \gamma(s)ds + \sigma \int_0^t \gamma(s)dB_H(s).$$

Under this condition, the process $\gamma(t)$ alone defines the strategy.

The stochastic integral mentioned above is defined as suggested in Corollary 1(i).

Let $\lambda > 0$ and $k > 0$ be given. Let $\mathbf{E}_0$ denote the conditional expectation given $\mathcal{G}_0$, and let Var_0 denote the corresponding variance.

Consider the following portfolio selection problem

$$\text{Maximize} \quad \mathbf{E}X(T) - \lambda \mathbf{E}\mathrm{Var}_0 X(T) - k\mathbf{E}\int_0^T \gamma(t)^2 dt \quad \text{over} \quad \gamma \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}. \tag{8}$$

The selection of λ is defined by the risk preferences; the selection of $k > 0$ represent a penalty for an excessive investment in the risky asset.

This linear quadratic optimization setting, or so-called mean-variance portfolio, was first introduced for single period models by Markowitz (see [17]) and extended later on multi-period and continuous time problems (see, e.g., [14] and [6] for multi-period problems and [15] for continuous time).

By Theorem 1, we have that

$$\mathbf{E}_0 X(T) = \int_0^T \gamma(t)(\mu + \sigma \mathcal{D}R_H(t))dt, \qquad \mathrm{Var}_0 X(T) = \mathbf{E}_0 \left(\sigma \int_0^T \gamma(t)dW_H(t) \right)^2.$$

Consider an operator $\Gamma : L_2(0, T) \to L_2(0, T)$ such that

$$(\gamma, \Gamma\gamma)_{L_2(0,T)} = \sigma^2 (G_H(\cdot, 0, T, \gamma), G_H(\cdot, 0, T, \gamma))_{L_2(0,T)}.$$

Clearly, the operator Γ is bounded, self-adjoint, and non-negatively defined. By Corollary 1, it follows that

$$\mathbf{E}\mathrm{Var}_0 X(T) = \mathbf{E}(\gamma, \Gamma\gamma)_{L_2(0,T)}.$$

Consider a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L_2(\Omega, \mathcal{G}_0, \mathbf{P}; L_2(0, T))$ with the standard L_2-type scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{H}}$ and norm $\| \cdot \|_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Problem (8) can be rewritten as

$$\text{Maximize} \quad (\gamma, \mu + \mathcal{D}R_H)_{\mathcal{H}} - \lambda(\gamma, \Gamma\gamma)_{\mathcal{H}} - k\|\gamma\|^2_{\mathcal{H}} \quad \text{over} \quad \gamma \in \mathcal{H}. \tag{9}$$

The theory of linear-quadratic gives that the optimal solution in $\mathcal{H}$ is

$$\widehat{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2\lambda}(\Gamma + kI)^{-1}(\mu + \sigma\mathcal{D}R_H). \tag{10}$$

Here $I : L_2(0,T) \to L_2(0,T)$ is the identity operator. The operator $(\Gamma + kI)^{-1} : L_2(0,T) \to L_2(0,T)$ is bounded (see, e.g., Lemma 2.7 p.74 in [19]). A version of $\widehat{\gamma}$ can be selected such that $\gamma(t)$ is $\mathcal{G}_0$-measurable for a.e. t and $\gamma \in L_2(0,T)$ a.s..

Remark 2. *The operator $(\Gamma + kI)^{-1}$ can be applied to $\mu + \sigma\mathcal{D}R_H$ pathwise in $L_2(0,T)$; this does not require to apply any averaging over a probability measure.*

4 Discussion and future developments

(i) It can be noted that estimation of μ and $\mathcal{D}R_H$ from observable data required by (10) is a non-trivial problem; see discussion in [3], [7], and [18].

(ii) It could be interesting to solve a similar portfolio selection problem for a more mainstream model with $S(t) = \exp(\mu t + \sigma B_H(t))$. It is unclear yet how to do this for a setting with $\mathcal{G}_0$-measurable quantity of shares $\gamma(t)$ for admissible strategies. However, it is straightforward to consider a model with this prices in a setting with $\gamma(t) = \pi(t)/S(t)$, where $\pi(t)$ is $\mathcal{F}_0$-adapted. We leave for future research.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by ARC grant of Australia DP120100928 to the author.

References

[1] Bender C., Sottinen T., Valkeila E. (2011) Fractional Processes as Models in Stochastic Finance. In: Di Nunno, Oksendal (Eds.), AMaMeF: Advanced Mathematical Methods for Finance, Springer, 75-103.

[2] Biagini, F. and Oksendal, B. (2003). Minimal variance hedging for fractional Brownian motion. *Methods Appl. Anal.* 10 (3), 347-362.

[3] Çetin U., Novikov A., Shiryaev A.N. (2013). Bayesian Sequential Estimation of a Drift of Fractional Brownian Motion. *Sequential Analysis: Design Methods and Applications* 32, Iss, 3, 288–296.

[4] Cheridito, P. (2003). Arbitrage in fractional Brownian motion models. *Finance Stoch.* 7 (4), 533–553.

[5] Czichowsky, C., Schachermayer, W.. (2015) Portfolio optimisation beyond semimartingales: shadow prices and fractional Brownian motion. Working paper. http://arxiv.org/abs/1505.02416.

[6] Dokuchaev, N. (2010). Mean variance and goal achieving portfolio for discrete-time market with currently observable source of correlations. *ESAIM: Control, Optimisation and Calculus of Variations* **16**, Number 3, 635–647.

[7] Es-Sebaiya, K., Ouassoub, I., Ouknine a, Y. (2009). Estimation of the drift of fractional Brownian motion. *Statistics & Probability Letters* 79 (14), 1647–1653.

[8] Gripenberg. G., and Norros, I. (1996). On the prediction of fractional Brownian motion. *Journal of Applied Probability* 33, No. 2, 400-410.

[9] Guasoni, P. (2006): No arbitrage with transaction costs, with fractional Brownian motion and beyond. *Math. Finance* 16 (2), 469–588.

[10] Hu Y. and Oksendal B. (2003). Fractional white noise calculus and applications to finance. *Infinite dimensional analysis, quantum probability and related topics* 6:1, 1Ű32.

[11] Hu Y., Oksendal B., Sulem A. (2003). Optimal consumption and portfolio in a Black-Scholes market driven by fractional Brownian motion *Infinite dimensional analysis, quantum probability and related topics* 6:4, 519-536.

[12] Hu Y., Zhou X.Y. (2005). Stochastic control for linear systems driven by fractional noises. SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization **43**, 2245-2277.

[13] Jumarie G. (2005), Merton's model of optimal portfolio in a Black-Scholes market driven by a fractional Brownian motion with short-range dependence, *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics* 37, 585-598.

[14] Li, D. and Ng, W.L. (2000). Optimal portfolio selection: multi-period mean–variance optimization. *Mathematical Finance* **10** (3), 387–406.

[15] Lim A. and Zhou X.Y. (2002). Mean-variance portfolio selection with random parameters in a complete market. *Mathematics of Operations Research* **27**, iss.1, 101 - 120.

[16] Mandelbrot, B. B., Van Ness, J. W. (1968) Fractional Brownian motions, fractional noises and applications. SIAM Review **10**, 422–437.

[17] Markowitz, H.M. (1959). Portfolio Selection : Efficient Diversification of Investment, New York: John Wiley & Sons.

[18] Muravlev A. A. (2013). Methods of sequential hypothesis testing for the drift of a fractional Brownian motion. Russ. Math. Surv. **68** (3) 577.

[19] Teschl, G. (2009). Mathematical Methods in Quantum Mechanics with Applications to Schrödinger. American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island.